

SECTION	COMMENT	POST?
2.0 & 4.0	1. Fix broken links on pages 3 and 4 for the HWA permit.	Yes
2.0	2. Revise a sentence on page 4 to: "Limits on LANL waste facilities may be found in Attachment J of the Permit."	Yes
	3. Delete Section 5.3.7 on RACER. Provide a description of Intellus.	Yes
2.0	Section 2.0 <i>Purpose of the Community Relations Plan</i> – the use of the word "landfills" in the description of post-closure activities must be changed. None of the waste dumpsites at LANL meet the standards of a landfill as defined in 40 CFR 264 Subpart N <i>Landfills</i> and 40 CFR 265 Subpart N <i>Landfills</i> .	Yes
5.1	Section 5.1 <i>Establish An Open Working Relationship with Communities and Interested Members of the Public</i> – should not be solely based on the annual Community Leaders Survey. We support the type of survey done by Ken LaGattuta and released on November 1, 2009. As noted in our comments last year and supported by the comments of another: The annual surveys of the attitudes of local community leaders towards LANL is insufficient to convincingly demonstrate approval, or disapproval, by the local community of LANL behavior. A better means of determining the opinions of local community members would be to survey these opinions directly.	Yes

SECTION	COMMENT	POST?
5.1.2	<p>Section 5.1.2 <i>LANL Environmental Website</i>. The Community Calendar has not been updated since it was generated on February 27, 2012. Before referencing it in the CRP, it is essential that LANL make a commitment to keep it updated. <i>See also</i> Section 5.1.6 <i>Public Notice, Meetings and Events Calendar</i>.</p>	Yes
5.1.3	<p>Section 5.1.3 <i>Public Meetings and Briefings</i>. Please provide a link for the NMED Facility Mailing List in the “Ways to Participate” box.</p> <p>In our June 9, 2011 comments, we requested that the Permittees adopt a standard operating procedure (SOP) of providing at least a two-week advance public notice. Our comments are supported by the comments of a Public Information Officer for Los Alamos County. The finalized 2013 CRP should include the SOP.</p>	Yes
5.1.5	<p>Section 5.1.5 <i>Northern New Mexico Citizens Advisory Board</i>. Please add that the NNM CAB is a DOE-chartered “and funded” site-specific....</p>	Yes

SECTION	COMMENT	POST?
5.3.5	<p>Section 5.3.5 <i>Information Repository</i>. We reiterate our June 9, 2011 concerns about the need for the Information Repository to be located at Northern New Mexico College. This section needs to be updated to reflect the Public Reading Room located at the NNM CAB office in Pojoaque. <i>See also</i> Section 5.5 <i>Provide a Mechanism for the Timely Dissemination of Information in Response to Individual Requests</i> – please provide a map to the Pojoaque location as well.</p> <p>It remains unclear how one is informed about when the annual training is being conducted.</p>	Yes
5.3.7	<p>Section 5.3.7 <i>RACER: Connecting Community, Science, and Environment</i>. This section obviously needs to be updated to reflect Intellus. Please delete reference to the FEED meetings, as they have not occurred for over 9 months – almost a year.</p>	Yes
5.5	<p>Section 5.5 <i>Provide a Mechanism for the Timely Dissemination of Information in Response to Individual Requests</i>. One way to minimize dispute and resolve differences would be for the CRP to state the up to this point unwritten LANS policy of providing only one paper copy of documents to individuals and organizations, along with a link to make the request.</p>	Yes